

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:

- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHPD Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved, they are not ranked per the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHPD Renewal Project Listing; and
- YHDP Replacement Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD’s website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Partners In Care - Oahu Continuum of Care

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2024 into one or more new projects? Yes

Alert: As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs may reallocate renewing Round 1 YHDP projects initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 YHDP Competition.

CoCs MAY NOT reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal project funds to create new CoC project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

CoCs must not reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
\$0				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Type of Reallocation
This list contains no items				

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal project funds to create new CoC project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

CoCs must not reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
\$905,982					
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for new project	Reallocation Type
AUW CONSOLIDATED PH	HI0029L9C012215	\$5,635,359	\$4,729,377	\$905,982	Regular

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

4-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2023 reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2023 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: AUW CONSOLIDATED PH
Grant Number of Reduced Project: HI0029L9C012215
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$5,635,359
Amount Retained for Project: \$4,729,377
Amount available for New Project(s): \$905,982
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

4-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC determined that this project should be reduced due to: 1) a history of low ranking project application, and 2) a history of returning funds. Ranking was done by the evaluation team, and approval of reduced funding decision done by non-conflicted CoC Advisory Board Members. AUW was notified of this determination on September 6, 2023.

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD’s website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC’s Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Reallocation	PSH/RRH	Expansion
Anuenue Elua FY2023	2023-09-11 21:23:...	PH	Gregory House Pro...	\$581,962	1 Year	7	PH Bonus	PSH	
Family Promise Jo...	2023-09-18 21:09:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	Family Promise of...	\$843,839	1 Year	5	Both		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	X
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	X
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type	Expansion Type
Anuenue Pathway t...	2023-09-11 21:22:...	1 Year	Gregory House Pro...	\$680,937	11		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Youth PH FY 2023	2023-09-12 21:12:...	1 Year	Residenti al Youth...	\$177,652	10	PSH	PH		
Youth RRH FY 2023	2023-09-12 21:13:...	1 Year	Residenti al Youth...	\$402,904	21	RRH	PH		
Ekolu Group Homes...	2023-09-12 15:29:...	1 Year	Steadfas t Housing. ..	\$136,086	15	PSH	PH		
Headway House 2023	2023-09-12 15:30:...	1 Year	Steadfas t Housing. ..	\$229,289	24	PSH	PH		
WIN Housing Domes...	2023-09-11 21:02:...	1 Year	Women in Need	\$172,998	27		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Safe Haven	2023-09-13 17:13:...	1 Year	Mental Health Kokua	\$864,197	17	PSH	PH		
Mahani Hale	2023-09-13 17:12:...	1 Year	Mental Health Kokua	\$273,665	12	PSH	PH		
HI-501 HMIS FY 2023	2023-09-13 15:11:...	1 Year	HI-501 Honolulu C...	\$491,187	1		HMIS		
HI-501 CES FY2023	2023-09-12 14:39:...	1 Year	HI-501 Honolulu C...	\$449,432	4		SSO		
Domestic Violence. ...	2023-09-14 16:30:...	1 Year	Child and Family ...	\$228,852	14		SSO		
Hale Ola Project ...	2023-09-14 18:54:...	1 Year	Parents And Child...	\$277,304	26		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Consolid ated PH 2023	2023-09-14 18:51:...	1 Year	Aloha United Way	\$4,729,377	23	PSH	PH		

2023 PH Ohana	2023-09-18 20:57:...	1 Year	Steadfast Housing.	\$628,209	19	PSH	PH		
ASI Permanent Sup...	2023-09-19 14:29:...	1 Year	Alternative Struc...	\$357,769	25	PSH	PH		
Family Promise DV...	2023-09-22 19:59:...	1 Year	Family Promise of...	\$976,786	18	RRH	PH		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
HI-501 Planning F...	2023-09-12 15:15:...	1 Year	HI-501 Honolulu C...	\$692,943	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. .

As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs must rank all YHDP Renewal projects that HUD initially funded in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP Competition.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.

X

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

X

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	Rank	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
TH/RRH FY 2023	2023-09-12 21:10:...	Residential Youth...	\$188,680	TH	1 Year	Yes	---		
HI-501 Youth HMIS...	2023-09-12 15:16:...	HI-501 Honolulu C...	\$106,105	HMIS	1 Year	Yes	---		
Mobile Crisis Res...	2023-09-12 20:28:...	Residential Youth...	\$275,000	SSO	1 Year	Yes	---		
PSH FY 2023	2023-09-12 20:43:...	Residential Youth...	\$474,068	PH	1 Year	Yes	---	PSH	
HI-501 Youth CES ...	2023-09-12 15:23:...	HI-501 Honolulu C...	\$93,191	SSO	1 Year	Yes	---		
Diversion FY 2023	2023-09-11 21:52:...	Residential Youth...	\$235,884	SSO	1 Year	Yes	---		
Guide on the Side...	2023-09-12 20:10:...	Residential Youth...	\$245,439	SSO	1 Year	Yes	---		
Guide on the Side...	2023-09-12 18:34:...	Hale Kipa, Inc.	\$195,496	SSO	1 Year	Yes	---		
HHHRC Guide on th...	2023-09-14 18:50:...	Hawaii Health & H...	\$62,377	SSO	1 Year	Yes	---		

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: TH/RRH FY 2023
Project Number: 205001
Date Submitted: 2023-09-12 21:10:30.809
Applicant Name: Residential Youth Services & Empowerment
Budget Amount: \$188,680
Project Type: TH
Program Type: TH
Component Type: TH
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type: TH

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List." If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List." If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: HI-501 Youth HMIS FY 2023
Project Number: 207492

Date Submitted: 2023-09-12 15:16:54.201
Applicant Name HI-501 Honolulu CoC - Partners In Care Proj. App.
Budget Amount \$106,105
Project Type HMIS
Program Type HMIS
Component Type HMIS
Grant Term 1 Year
Priority Type HMIS

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Mobile Crisis Response FY 2023
Project Number: 204999
Date Submitted: 2023-09-12 20:28:10.695
Applicant Name Residential Youth Services & Empowerment
Budget Amount \$275,000
Project Type SSO
Program Type SSO

Component Type SSO
Grant Term 1 Year
Priority Type SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: PSH FY 2023
Project Number: 205000
Date Submitted: 2023-09-12 20:43:19.926
Applicant Name Residential Youth Services & Empowerment
Budget Amount \$474,068
Project Type PH
Program Type PH
Component Type PH
Grant Term 1 Year
Priority Type PH

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: HI-501 Youth CES FY2023
Project Number: 207491
Date Submitted: 2023-09-12 15:23:39.397
Applicant Name: HI-501 Honolulu CoC - Partners In Care Proj. App.
Budget Amount: \$93,191
Project Type: SSO
Program Type: SSO
Component Type: SSO
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type: SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Diversion FY 2023
Project Number: 204997
Date Submitted: 2023-09-11 21:52:07.795
Applicant Name Residential Youth Services & Empowerment
Budget Amount \$235,884
Project Type SSO
Program Type SSO
Component Type SSO
Grant Term 1 Year
Priority Type SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Guide on the Side FY 2023
Project Number: 204998
Date Submitted: 2023-09-12 20:10:28.163
Applicant Name: Residential Youth Services & Empowerment
Budget Amount: \$245,439
Project Type: SSO
Program Type: SSO
Component Type: SSO
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type: SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Guide on the Side Collaborative
Project Number: 208859
Date Submitted: 2023-09-12 18:34:37.829
Applicant Name: Hale Kipa, Inc.
Budget Amount: \$195,496
Project Type: SSO
Program Type: SSO
Component Type: SSO
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type: SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: HHHRC Guide on the Side 2023
Project Number: 209407
Date Submitted: 2023-09-14 18:50:26.859
Applicant Name: Hawaii Health & Harm Reduction Center
Budget Amount: \$62,377
Project Type: SSO
Program Type: SSO
Component Type: SSO
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type: SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs must rank all YHDP Replacement applications for projects replacing YHDP Renewal projects that HUD initially funded in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP Competition.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?	Rank
This list contains no items							

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked the New, Renewal, Round 1 YHDP Renewal and Round 1 YHDP Replacement projects, or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$11,076,644
New Amount	\$1,425,801
CoC Planning Amount	\$692,943
YHDP Amount - Competitive	\$0
YHDP Amount - Non-Competitive	\$1,876,240
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$15,071,628

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	HUD 2991 and Proj...	09/15/2023
Other	No	Project Rankings	09/25/2023
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	Project ranking a...	09/18/2023

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD 2991 and Project Listing

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project Rankings

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project ranking and rating tool

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	08/03/2023
2. Reallocation	09/13/2023
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	No Input Required
4. Grant(s) Reduced	09/15/2023
5A. CoC New Project Listing	09/21/2023
5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/24/2023
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/15/2023
5E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	09/15/2023

5F. YHDP Replacement Project Listing	No Input Required
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	09/25/2023
Submission Summary	No Input Required

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Partners In Care - O'ahu Continuum of Care

Project Name: HI--501

Location of the Project: HI-501 City and County of Honolulu

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City and County of Honolulu

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Holly Kawano

Title: Federal Grants Coordinator

Signature: 

Date: 9/14/23

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

PARTNERS IN CARE

Oahu Continuum of Care

Our mission is to eliminate homelessness through open and inclusive participation and the coordination of integrated responses.

The following project names and descriptions are included in the Partners In Care (Collaborative Applicant for the Oahu Continuum of Care) Certificate of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan for the FY 2023 Continuum of Care Program Competition.

Location: HI-501 Honolulu City and County

Agency	Project Title	Project Description	Renewal/New	Project Type	Grant Term (Years)	Beds/ Units	Awarded
Aloha United Way	Consolidated PH 2023	The 2022 Consolidated Permanent Housing (PH) grant for Honolulu combines the strengths of three subrecipients: Kalihi-Palama Health Center (KPHC), Steadfast Housing Development Corporation (SHDC) and the Institute for Human Services, Inc. (IHS). This renewal will assist homeless and chronically homeless persons with disabilities through the provision of 304 permanent housing units. All three projects focus on increasing self-determination and independence by assisting participants with housing placement, employment, stability and retention. New admissions qualify for these programs based on vulnerability via VI-SPDAT score in addition to the condition of chronic homelessness.	Renewal	PH	1	241/235	\$4,729,377
Alternative Structures International	ASI Permanent Supportive Housing HI-501	ASI's PSH for Families provides housing placement, rental assistance and support services for 13 chronically homeless families in Leeward Oahu. The goal is to assist chronically homeless families who are unsheltered or in emergency shelters to get into permanent housing as quickly as possible and maintain housing stability. A case manager will interface	Renewal	PH	1	50/13	\$357,769

		with the coordinated entry system to identify families who qualify for PSH and will work with outreach/housing navigators who guide the family to appropriate housing.					
Child and Family Service	DV Coordinated Entry Project FY2023	Maintain a database that allows DV Providers to control who has access and view-rights to client information; it complies with all HUD- required technical specifications and data fields in HMIS and is programmable to collect data with the most up-to-date HMIS Data Standards. It has the functionality necessary to de-duplicate client records, in order to provide an aggregate client count by project type (provided the aggregate data does not present characteristics that could be personally identifying); and has the ability to generate all reports required by federal partners, such as the HUD-CoC APR and the HUD-ESG CSV for the e-CART reporting tool, and; allow for customizable fields or client screens that permit VSP's to track clients in meaningful ways. Social Solutions is the data system used for the DV CE.	Renewal	SSO	1	N/A	\$228,852

Family Promise of Hawaii	FY23 – Family Promise DV Rapid Rehousing	The goal of the Family Promise DV Rapid Rehousing program is to help households fleeing domestic violence (DV) transition from homelessness to permanent, stable housing through medium-term rental assistance alongside victim-centered, trauma-informed case management. The main goals of the program are to help families experiencing DV and homelessness access safe and secure permanent housing, provide victim-centered and trauma-informed supportive services to help families heal, and to connect families with comprehensive wrap-around support to ensure they remain stably housed.	Renewal New	PH	1	78/28	\$976,786
Family Promise of Hawaii	Joint TH/PH-RRH	The purpose of the Joint TH- PH-RRH program is to assist families with children under 18 experiencing homelessness by immediately providing safe, transitional housing and subsequently providing medium-term rental assistance to quickly transition those to permanent housing.	New	Joint TH/PH-RRH	1	18/36	\$843,839

Gregory House Programs	Anuenue Pathway to Housing	<p>A one-year joint transitional housing and permanent housing – rapid re-housing program. The transitional housing component is specifically for individuals diagnosed with HIV/AIDS (and oftentimes dually and triply diagnosed with substance abuse and mental health disorders). According to the State of HI Dept. of Health, in the period from 2016-2018, 6% of newly HIV diagnosed persons were homeless. In this same period, of 334 out-of-care individuals (defined as not having recorded lab results tracking CD4 and/or viral load within</p>	Renewal	Joint TH & PH-RRH	1	89	\$680,937
------------------------	----------------------------	---	---------	-------------------	---	----	-----------

		<p>a year), 7 were ever homeless, 3 were transitionally housed, 23 were at risk of becoming homeless. This data demonstrates an intricate correlation between homelessness and HIV. In HI and nationally, living with HIV significantly increases one's risk of becoming homeless and for the homeless living with HIV, there are significant health risks associated with not being in care and managing treatment. In order to achieve permanent housing solutions for this very high risk population, it is essential to get them transitionally housed and linked to supportive services.</p>					
--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--

Gregory House Programs	Anuenu Elua	An initiative comprising a continuum of services focused on at-risk LGBTQ+ individuals throughout city and county of Honolulu who are homeless. The project is comprised of two components (1) an individual five-bedroom house in collaboration with RYSE and (2) 13 PH-PSH rent subsidy vouchers linked to case management services administered by Gregory House Programs.	New	PH	1	6/20	\$581,962
Hale Kipa	Guide on the Side Collaborative	This collaboration seeks to extend its provision of GOTS to at least 30 eligible young adults on the island of O'ahu. The program team is comprised of two guides and a peer guide that "walk alongside the young person" providing them youth-driven, individualized, trauma-informed, responsive, wraparound services.	Renewal	SSO	1	N/A	\$195,496
Hawaii Health & Harm Reduction Center	HHHRC Guide on the Side	HHHRC's Guide on the Side project is designed to continue provide maximum on-going individual support to LGBTQ and substance using UYEH. Every UYEH	Renewal	SSO	1	N/A	\$62,377

		<p>will be assigned to a GotS peer navigator. The initial step is completion of a comprehensive assessment to identify and prioritize the client's immediate and long-term goals. With this information, and in partnership with the UYEH, the GotS peer navigator outlines a comprehensive care plan. The GotS peer navigator collaborates with the UYEH to navigate systems of care and to coordinate linkages to additional services within HHHRC and with external partners and agencies to achieve the goals outlined in their individualized care plan. These services will typically include accessing safe and stable housing, linkage to medical insurance, clinical services including mental health, substance use assessment and linkage to treatment services where appropriate, HIV, HCV and STI screening and treatment, smoking cessation, and procurement of general assistance and SNAP benefits. The GotS program is a comprehensive case management and navigation program.</p>					
Mental Health Kokua	Mahani Hale	<p>A recovery-based permanent group home, serving 8 single homeless adults with mental illness, on Oahu supported by case management, based on values of choice/control, quality, and community integration. Residents will be supported with 24-hour residential staffing intensive case management, psychiatry and nursing.</p>	Renewal	PH	1	8/8	\$273,665

Mental Health Kokua	Safe Haven	Safe Haven's primary focus is to provide assertive case management and wrap-around support while immediately moving vulnerable individuals into housing. Hawaii's severe housing shortage impairs fidelity to the Housing First model, and Safe Haven serves as a critical proxy for permanent housing to mitigate the ongoing trauma of homelessness with a priority to transition into permanent housing. Safe Haven's specialization in targeting psychiatrically impaired homeless individuals assists the most vulnerable and highest service utilizers of this population. The facility is an SRO, with 24-hour residential staff providing assistance and training on the skills necessary for independent living.	Renewal	PH	1	25/25	\$864,197
Parents and Children Together	Hale Ola	The goal of this proposal is to ensure survivors and their children have access to safe, permanent housing and longer-term residential stability through a systematic response that provides DV survivors supports for their recovery, self-reliance, and family preservation.	Renewal	Joint TH & PH-RRH	1	35/11	\$277,304
Partners In Care	HI-501 HMIS FY2023	The HMIS team provides user-specific trainings that are designed to cater to the various providers and program needs. Users are not granted access to HMIS until successfully completing user appropriate training. Training is provided as an asynchronous 3-hour online course consisting of videos and quizzes, that is then evaluated and graded by the HMIS Training Assistant. There are three courses designed for different roles within an organization; Case Management,	Renewal	HMIS	1	N/A	\$491,187

		<p>VI-SPDAT, and Agency Administration. Virtual program support is provided for individuals who need an alternative format or for those who need additional support to complete or pass the asynchronous online course. 450 new users have been trained, and out of this 450, 275 were granted access. Along with the initial training, the HMIS team hosts weekly refresher meetings that are open to all providers and users. HMIS account monitoring is implemented to ensure data safety and that access remains with users who are actively working within their designated program. If a user does not access the HMIS system for a period of 90 days their account will be deactivated. A user will also be deactivated when a program notifies the HMIS that a user has left their program. If a user changes organizations and does not access HMIS for more than 60 days, they must re-complete HMIS training.</p>					
Partners In Care	HI-501 Youth CES FY2023	<p>The proposed YHDP CES project provides a process through which youth and young adults experiencing homelessness on Oahu are connected to interventions that aim to rapidly resolve their housing crisis. The project will accomplish this by (1) ensuring CES is accessible and marketed to all youth located through the CoC; (2) ensuring the standardized assessment tool which uniformly identifies and directs youth to the</p>	Renewal	SSO	1	N/A	\$93,191

		<p>appropriate housing and service interventions is continuously improved upon and understood by those in the community who administer it; (3) prioritizing youth with the greatest service needs based on the prioritization matrix agreed upon by the Oahu CoC; and (4) ensure there is housing navigation in the form of youth-specific case conferencing, pre-referral meetings and post-referral meetings to support youth homeless service providers and youth who are referred to resource vacancies. This scope of work will be overseen by 1 FTE YHDP CES Specialist with the support of the 5 FTE CES team.</p>					
Partners In Care	HI-501 CES FY2023	<p>The Oahu CoC CES is operated by PIC and operates in tandem with the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) and Landlord Engagement Program (LEP) teams. The proposed CES project provides a process through which households experiencing homelessness on Oahu can be connected to available housing resources in a manner that is equitable and based upon identified community priorities. The project will accomplish this by (1) ensuring CES is accessible and marketed to all persons located through the CoC, especially those who are living with disabilities, are a part of a protected class, fleeing domestic abuse, are service resistant or have the greatest barriers; (2)</p>	Renewal	SSO	1	N/A	\$449,432

		<p>ensuring the standardized assessment tool which uniformly identifies and directs households to the appropriate housing and service interventions is continuously improved upon and understood by those in the community who administer it; (3) prioritizing those with the greatest service needs based on the prioritization matrix agreed upon by the Oahu CoC; and (4) ensure there is housing navigation in the form of case conferencing, pre-referral meetings and post-referral meetings to support homeless service providers and households who are referred to resource vacancies. This scope of work will be delivered by a CES team comprised of a 1 FTE CES Manager, 5 FTE CES Specialists and</p>					
Partners In Care	HI-501 Youth HMIS FY2023	<p>The HMIS team provides user-specific trainings that are designed to cater to the various providers and program needs. Users are not granted access to HMIS until successfully completing user appropriate training. Training is provided as an asynchronous 3-hour online course of videos and quizzes that is then, evaluated and graded by the HMIS Training Assistant. There are three courses designed for different roles within an organization: Case Management, VISPDAT, and Agency Administration. Virtual training is provided for individuals who need an alternative format or for those who</p>	Renewal	HMIS	1	N/A	\$106,105

		struggle to complete or pass the asynchronous online course. The HMIS team also hosts a refresher class every Thursday that is open to all users. For YHDP, the HMIS team has trained 24 new users, in which 22 were granted access. HMIS also includes training videos and downloadable guides on its webpage.					
		training, expand and customize our CES to fit community needs, developing reports to better see the picture of homelessness, and coordinate scarce housing vouchers more effectively and efficiently.					
Residential Youth Services & Empowerment	FY 2023 Youth RRH	RYSE proposes a rapid rehousing project that offers 8 youth-specific RRH vouchers for youth experiencing homelessness (YEH) identified through CES. Our RRH program will use a youth housing first approach with low barriers to entry that accommodates for partners, pets, and other needs to help youth move to permanent housing quickly, while at the same time offering case management and wraparound supportive services that can help youth maintain housing by developing independent living skills and learning boundaries, such as adhering to housing policies.	Renewal New	PH	1	13/13	\$402,904

Residential Youth Services & Empowerment	PSH	RYSE, Mental Health Kokua (MHK), with Ohana Health Plan, collaboratively propose a Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) project for youth with severe mental illness (SMI) and/or other disabilities that offers: 1) eight youth-specific PSH vouchers; 2) 24-hour group home for five youth; and 3) robust CCS services for a further 10 youth who are residing in other housing to help high-need youth maintain their housing placement and independence.	Renewal	PH	1	15/9	\$474,068
Residential Youth Services & Empowerment	Guide on the Side	Our innovative Enhanced Guide on the Side Program provides long-term stabilization for 75 youth per year through multiple culturally inclusive strategies. First, all youth who receive services from RYSE will be partnered with a staff member who can act as a mentor and consistent	Renewal	SSO	1	N/A	\$245,439
		touchpoint for services throughout the youth's time at RYSE and through periodic follow-up after services have ended. RYSE believes youth having a stable and consistent connection to encouraging individuals helps their successful transition to adulthood and out of homelessness.					

Residential Youth Services & Empowerment	Mobile Crisis Response	The Mobile Crisis Response Hui, Acheive Zero, Hale Kipa, Hawai'i Health & Harm Reduction Center (H3RC), RYSE, and Waikiki Health bring extensive experience and expertise to collectively propose an island-wide coordinated Mobile Crisis Response Project to expand and formalize existing informal street outreach collaborative efforts to be able to offer additional resources, provide better community coverage, identify more youth, build a cohesive system offering a universal standard of support, increase response, and help map community hot spots for youth currently or at-risk-of homelessness up to age 25.	Renewal	SSO	1	N/A	\$275,000
Residential Youth Services & Empowerment	FY 2023 Youth Permanent Housing	RYSE proposes a permanent supportive housing (PSH) project that offers six (6) youth-specific PSH beds for youth experiencing homelessness (YEH) identified through CES. Additionally, to ready youth for PSH and exit from homelessness, the program will offer employment and education supports to a further ten (10) youth to increase their skills and income as they prepare for housing.	Renewal New	PH	1	6/2	\$177,652
Residential Youth Services & Empowerment	Diversion	Our Diversion program will offer supportive services, including civil legal services from Legal Aid, systems navigation, connection to mainstream benefits and services, and services plans development	Renewal	SSO	1	N/A	\$235,884

		before youth exit systems so trust can be built, and youth can develop hope. Our proposed trust-building approach from trained youth-focused staff that develops rapport, meets youth needs and offers transition programming and legal supports that can help with housing placement, connection to job skills development, and negotiating early releases, will work to help youth stop running. Additionally, Diversion funding will be used as a resource for all qualifying UYEH as a stopgap to meet essential needs that unaddressed might result in homelessness.					
Residential Youth Services & Empowerment	TH/RRH	RYSE's hybrid Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH) project can provide a sliding level of support that can adapt to the youth's level of need recognizing that the path out of homelessness is not a linear one; youth may make progress – obtaining a job or starting substance use treatment – and have set-backs – losing their job or having a relapse – that requires supportive services and interventions that can assist them with a light touch as they grow and more intensive interventions when they falter.	Renewal	Joint TH & PH-RRH	1	8/8	\$188,680
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	2023 PH Ohana	Supportive services may include: outreach, psychiatric treatment, community based case management services, mental health services, psycho-social rehabilitation, housing search and placement, in home supports and mediation with landlords on landlord/tenant issues. Residential Specialists, trained in evidence-based practices related to motivational interviewing, client-centered counseling and harm reduction, assist	Renewal	PH	1	32/32	\$628,209

		individuals to retain housing by: developing relationships with individuals that are characterized by respect and trust, protect individuals rights, advocate and link individuals to essential services, work in partnership with property management to meet the needs of individuals, coordinate activities to ensure the best outcomes for individuals, provide housing units that are attractive, sustainable, functional and conducive to the individuals stability.					
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Ekolu Group Homes 2023	Ekolu Group Homes (Ekolu) project will serve twelve (12) clients in 3 scattered site group homes located in Waianae, Pearl City and Hawaii Kai on the island of Oahu. These group homes are fully furnished, with a kitchen, bathrooms, individual bedroom units and a laundry area. A live-in manager will provide necessary maintenance and support services. 100% of individuals will met HUD's definition for chronic homelessness and have a severe and persistent mental illness with or without a co-occurring substance abuse disorder. Individuals will pay 30% of their income toward rent.	Renewal	PH	1	12/12	\$136,086
Steadfast Housing Development Corporation	Headway House 2023	This proposal propose to help these consumers by providing housing and support services which may include but not limited to; psychiatric and psychosocial rehabilitation. Assistance may also be in the form of hands-on assistance, such as actually performing a personal care task for a consumer or cueing so that the consumers perform the task by themselves. The tasks which personal care can assist with may	Renewal	PH	1	40/40	\$229,289


		include training with personal hygiene, light housework, laundry, meal preparation, transportation, medication and money management.					
Women In Need	WIN Housing Domestic Violence Survivors with Comfort Pets	WIN expects to provide TH PH-RRH and supportive services to a total of 25 women and children over the year grant period. The mission of WIN is to empower families and individuals at risk and to help them develop the basic tools and skills of personal development and self-sufficiency that enable them to live in safe and stable environments, and in healthy relationships. WIN's Housing DV Survivors with their Comfort Pets uses the evidence-based Housing First Approach with coordinated entry to better meet the needs of survivors by allowing them to live with their therapeutic pets.	Renewal	Joint TH & PH-RRH	1	10/5	\$172,988

Laura E. Thielen

9/14/2023

Laura Thielen, Executive Director
Partners In Care – O'ahu Continuum of Care

date



Heather Lusk, Executive Director
Executive Director, Hawaii Health & Harm Reduction Center
Chair, O'ahu CoC Advisory Board

9.14.2023
date

Score	Tier	Agency	Project Title	Funding Type	Project Type	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded	Reallocation	Reallocation Awarded	CoC Bonus
1	96.1 Tier 1	PIC	HMIS	Renewal	HMIS	\$ 491,187	\$ 491,187			
2	96.0 YHDP	PIC	YHDP CES	Renewal	CE	\$ 93,191	\$ 93,191			
3	94.75 YHDP	HHHRC	Guide on the Side Project	Renewal	SSO-YHDP	\$ 62,377	\$ 62,377			
4	94.5 Tier 1	PIC	CES	Renewal	SSO-CE	\$ 449,432	\$ 449,432			
5	93.9 Reallocation/CoC Bonus	Family Promise of Hawaii	Joint TH/PH-RRH	New	Joint TH & PH-RRH	\$ 843,839	\$ 843,839		\$455,680	\$388,159
6	93.8 YHDP	PIC	YHDP HMIS	Renewal	HMIS	\$ 106,105	\$ 106,105			
7	92.1 CoC Bonus	Gregory House/RYSE	Anuenue Elua	New	PH	\$ 581,962	\$ 581,962			\$581,962
8	90.8 YHDP	Hale Kipa	Guide on the Side Collaborative	Renewal	SSO-YHDP	\$ 195,496	\$ 195,496			
9	87.8 Tier 1	RYSE	Youth Permanant Housing	Renewal-New	PH	\$ 177,652	\$ 177,652			
10	86.375 YHDP	RYSE	GOTS	Renewal	SSO-YHDP	\$ 245,439	\$ 245,439			
11	84.9 Tier 1	Gregory House Programs	Anuenue Pathway to Housing	Renewal-New	Joint TH & PH-RRH	\$ 680,937	\$ 680,937			
12	84.375 Tier 1	MHK	Mahani Hale	Renewal	PH	\$ 273,665	\$ 273,665			
13	83.4 Tier 1	Child and Family Services	DV Coordinated Entry System	Renewal	SSO-CE	\$ 228,852	\$ 228,852			
14	82.7 YHDP	RYSE	Permanent Supportive Housing	Renewal	PSH	\$ 474,068	\$ 474,068			
15	82.4 Tier 1	Steadfast	Supportive Housing Program - Ekolu Group Homes 2023	Renewal	PH	\$ 136,086	\$ 136,086			
16	81.1 YHDP	RYSE	Diversion	Renewal	SSO-YHDP	\$ 235,884	\$ 235,884			
17	81 Tier 1	MHK	Safe Haven	Renewal	PH	\$ 864,197	\$ 864,197			
18	80.9 Tier 1	Family Promise of Hawaii	Domestic Violence Rapid Rehousing	Renewal-New	RRH	\$ 976,786	\$ 976,786			
19	80.8 Tier 1	Steadfast	Supportive Housing Program - PH Ohana 2023	Renewal	PH	\$ 628,209	\$ 628,209			
20	77.125 YHDP	RYSE	Mobile Crisis Outreach	Renewal	SSO-YHDP	\$ 275,000	\$ 275,000			
21	76.5 Tier 1	RYSE	Youth Rapid Rehousing	Renewal-New	RRH	\$ 402,904	\$ 402,904			
22	73.5 YHDP	RYSE	Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing	Renewal	TH-RRH	\$ 188,680	\$ 188,680			
23	78.0 Tier 1 and 2	Aloha United Way	Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing FY2023	Renewal	PH	\$ 5,635,359	\$ 4,729,377			
24	77.6 Tier 2	Steadfast	Supportive Housing Program - Headway House 2023	Renewal	PH	\$ 229,289	\$ 229,289			
25	75.5 Tier 2	ASI	Permanent Supportive Housing	Renewal	PH	\$ 357,769	\$ 357,769			
26	70.1 Tier 2	PACT	Renewal Project	Renewal	Joint TH & PH-RRH	\$ 277,304	\$ 277,304			
27	63.6 Tier 2	WIN	WIN Housing DV Survivors w Comfort Pets	Renewal	Joint TH & PH-RRH	\$ 172,998	\$ 172,998			
28	71.8 Not Funded	US Vets	Outreach Services for Unsheltered...	New	SSO	\$ 464,931				
		PIC	Planning			\$ 692,943				
		AUW Tier 1				\$ 4,408,134				
		AUW Tier 2				\$ 321,243				

1E-2: Local Competition Scoring Tool

HI-501

Partners In Care- O'ahu Continuum of Care

New/Expansion Project Scorecard		
Project Name _____		
Agency: _____		
Project Type: _____		
Evaluator _____		
CoC Threshold Requirements		
Agency participates in CES.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project will utilize a Housing First and/or Low Barrier approach.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project has documented minimum match.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project provided a budget and budget narrative.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Agency has provided an acceptable organizational audit/financial review.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>If answered "yes" to all of the above, continue to the rating section below.</i>		
Project Rating by CoC		
Rating Criteria	Points Earned	Points Available
I. Submission Requirements		
1. Timely submission of project application packets in accordance with RFP requirements.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
2. Timely submission of project application and all attachments in e-snaps.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	2
II. CoC Monitoring		
1. Timely drawdown of most recent grant expenditures with time expense ratio is ≤10% (% of term expired minus % funds disbursed).	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
2. ≥90% of program entries and exits were entered into HMIS within 3 days.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	8
Project Rating by External Evaluator		
Rating Criteria	Points Earned	Points Available
III. Project Description		
1. Provides description of proposed project, including target population, type of housing provided, and types of services offered.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	4
2. Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served and shows that the proposed housing (including the number and configuration of units) and services will fit those needs.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	4

3. Explains how program will assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. (For TH-PH-RRH projects: project will provide enough rapid rehousing assistance to ensure that at any given time a participant may move from transitional to permanent housing)	<input type="text"/>	4
4. Explains how program will help clients access mainstream benefits, increase employment and/or income, and maximize ability to live independently.	<input type="text"/>	4
5. The project will use evidenced-based and/or culturally-based practices.	<input type="text"/>	4
6. Project will work to reduce returns to homelessness, first time homelessness, and increase employment and income.	<input type="text"/>	4
7. Describes the plan for rapid implementation, with a reasonable and detailed schedule of proposed activities at 60, 120, and 180 days after grant award.	<input type="text"/>	4
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	28
IV. Project Alignment with Policy & CoC Priorities		
1. Degree to which program will implement a Housing First approach or philosophy.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Program advances radical equity in homelessness service provision (including degree to which program racial demographics reflect overall homeless population racial breakdown.)	<input type="text"/>	3
3. Program will consider individuals with lived experience in program design, planning, implementation, evaluation, etc.	<input type="text"/>	3
4. Program has plan for improving assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals.	<input type="text"/>	3
5. Application demonstrates program will be cost-effective.	<input type="text"/>	3
6. Program works with stakeholders from health, housing, and social services to meet client needs.	<input type="text"/>	3
7. Project addresses HUD's six pillars--including three foundations (equity, data, collaboration) and will employ at least one solution (housing & supports, crisis response, and prevention).	<input type="text"/>	3
8. Degree to which program will address unsheltered homelessness.	<input type="text"/>	3
9. Describes how the agency will ensure project staff retention (e.g., paying a living wage, offering mental health supports, etc.)	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	27
V. Project Performance & Evaluation		

1. Describes objective program outcomes and how the program will assess those outcomes.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Program has a plan to review participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of outcome data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, etc.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
VI.Equity Factors		
1.a. Agency has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions.	<input type="text"/>	1
1.b. Agency has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers on certain groups.	<input type="text"/>	1
2.a. Agency's Board of Directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	1
2.b. Agency has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	4
VII.CoC Participation and Contribution to System Performance		
1. Degree to which agency participates in PIC--e.g., serving on the Board of Directors, committees, and workgroups--and collaborates with PIC members.	<input type="text"/>	4
2. Describes how the project fits system needs and fits with CoC and HUD policy priorities.	<input type="text"/>	3
3. Ensures that program will participate in HMIS and CES.	<input type="text"/>	2
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	9
VIII.Financial Performance		
1. Average cost per household served is reasonable and consistent with the population to be served.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Most recent agency financial audit found minimal exceptions or findings.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
IX.Agency Background & Experience		
1. Applicant and sub-recipients (if any) have experience working with proposed population and the proposed housing type.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Applicant has experience in utilizing a Housing First approach.	<input type="text"/>	3

HI-501 FY '23 NOFO

3. Applicant has experience in effectively utilizing federal funds, including HUD grants and other public funding (e.g., regular drawdowns, timely reimbursement of subrecipients, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of reports for existing grants).

4

Subtotal

10

Total

100

Renewal Project Scorecard		
Project Name _____		
Agency: _____		
Project Type: _____		
Evaluator _____		
CoC Threshold Requirements		
Program participates in CES.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project utilizes a Housing First and/or Low Barrier approach.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project has documented minimum match.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project provided a budget and budget narrative.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Agency has provided an organizational audit/financial review.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>If answered "yes" to all of the above, continue to the rating section below.</i>		
Project Rating by CoC		
	Points Earned	Points Available
I. Submission Requirements		
1. Timely submission of project application packets in accordance with RFP requirements.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
2. Timely submission of project application and all attachments in e-snaps.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	2
II. CoC Monitoring		
1. Timely drawdown of most recent grant expenditures with time expense ratio is ≤10% (% of term expired minus % funds disbursed).	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
2. ≥90% of program entries and exits were entered into HMIS within 3 days.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	8
Project Rating by External Evaluator		
	Points Earned	Points Available
III. Project Description		
1. Describes program, including goals, services provided, and target population.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
IV. Project Alignment with Policy & CoC Priorities		
1. Degree to which program implements a Housing First approach or philosophy.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	3

2. Program advances racial equity in homelessness service provision (including degree to which program racial demographics reflect overall homeless population racial breakdown).	<input type="text"/>	3
3. Program considers individuals with lived experience in program design, planning, implementation, evaluation, etc.	<input type="text"/>	3
4. Program works to improve assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals.	<input type="text"/>	3
5. Program demonstrates cost-effectiveness.	<input type="text"/>	3
6. Program works with stakeholders from health, housing, and social services to meet client needs.	<input type="text"/>	3
7. Project addresses HUD's six pillars--including three foundations (equity, data, collaboration) and will employ at least one solution (housing & supports, crisis response, and prevention).	<input type="text"/>	3
8. Degree to which program addresses unsheltered homelessness.	<input type="text"/>	3
9. Describes how the agency will ensure project staff retention (e.g., paying a living wage, offering mental health supports, etc.)	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	27

V. Performance Measures

Time to Placement		
1. On average, time from project entry to residential placement is 15 days (RRH), 30 days (DV RRH), or 180 days (PSH & TH).	<input type="text"/>	8
Exits to Permanent Housing		
2. ≥90% of participants remain in or move to permanent housing.	<input type="text"/>	8
Recidivism		
3. ≤15% of participants who exited to permanent housing return to homelessness within 12 months of exit.	<input type="text"/>	5
New or Increased Income		
4.a. At least 8% of project leavers received new or increased income (from any source).	<input type="text"/>	1
4.b. At least 8 % of project stayers received new or increased income (from any source).	<input type="text"/>	1
Project Cost-Effectiveness		
5. Describes how the project has assessed and will assess project outcomes.	<input type="text"/>	4
6. Costs per positive housing exit (total budget with match/#persons exited to positive locations or still in program) is reasonable for program type.	<input type="text"/>	4
Bed/Utilization Rate		
7. Bed/utilization rate has been at or above 90% at each quarter.	<input type="text"/>	3

Subtotal	<input type="text" value="34"/>	34
VI. Equity Factors		
Agency Factors		
1.a. Agency has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions.	<input type="text" value="1"/>	1
1.b. Agency has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers.	<input type="text" value="1"/>	1
2.a. Agency's Board of Directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience.	<input type="text" value="1"/>	1
2.b. Agency has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience.	<input type="text" value="1"/>	1
Program Factors		
3.a. Program has reviewed participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, etc.	<input type="text" value="1"/>	1
3.b. Program has identified programmatic changes need to make participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes.	<input type="text" value="1"/>	1
Subtotal	<input type="text" value="6"/>	6
VII. HUD Monitoring		
1. Any HUD monitoring findings and corrective action were minimal.	<input type="text" value="5"/>	5
Subtotal	<input type="text" value="5"/>	5
VIII. CoC Participation and Contribution to System Performance		
1. Agency participates in PIC--e.g., serving on the Board of Directors, committees, and /or workgroups--and collaborates with PIC members.	<input type="text" value="4"/>	4
2. Describes how project fits system needs and fits with CoC and HUD policy priorities.	<input type="text" value="3"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text" value="7"/>	7
IX. Financial Performance		
1. Most recent agency financial audit found no exceptions or findings.	<input type="text" value="3"/>	3
2. Budget costs are within local average for project type.	<input type="text" value="3"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text" value="6"/>	6
Total	<input type="text" value="100"/>	100

Renewal YHDP SSO (Support Services Only) Project Scorecard		
Project Name _____		
Agency: _____		
Project Type: _____		
Evaluator _____		
CoC Threshold Requirements		
Program participates in CES.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project utilizes a Housing First and/or Low Barrier approach.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project has documented minimum match.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project provided a budget and budget narrative.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Agency has provided an organizational audit/financial review.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>If answered "yes" to all of the above, continue to the rating section below.</i>		
Project Rating by CoC		
Rating Criteria	Points Earned	Points Available
I. Submission Requirements		
1. Timely submission of project application packets in accordance with RFP requirements.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
2. Timely submission of project application and all attachments in e-snaps.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	2
II. CoC Monitoring		
1. Timely drawdown of most recent grant expenditures with time expense ratio is ≤10% (% of term expired minus % funds disbursed).	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
2. ≥90% of program entries and exits were entered into HMIS within 3 days.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	8
Project Rating by External Evaluator		
Rating Criteria	Points Earned	Points Available
III. Project Description		
Project Description		
1. Describes program, including goals, services provided, and target population.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
IV. Project Alignment with Policy & CoC Priorities		
1. Degree to which program implements a Housing First approach or philosophy.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	3

2. Program advances racial equity in homelessness service provision (including degree to which program racial demographics reflect overall homeless population racial breakdown).	<input type="text"/>	3
3. Program considers individuals with lived experience in program design, planning, implementation, evaluation, etc.	<input type="text"/>	3
4. Program works to improve assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals.	<input type="text"/>	3
5. Program demonstrates cost-effectiveness.	<input type="text"/>	3
6. Program works with stakeholders from health, housing, and social services to meet client needs.	<input type="text"/>	3
7. Project addresses HUD's six pillars--including three foundations (equity, data, collaboration) and will employ at least one solution (housing & supports, crisis response, and prevention).	<input type="text"/>	3
8. Degree to which program addresses unsheltered homelessness.	<input type="text"/>	3
9. Describes how the agency will ensure project staff retention (e.g., paying a living wage, offering mental health supports, etc.)	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	27

V. Performance Measures

Exits to Permanent Housing		
1. ≥10% of participants moved into permanent housing.	<input type="text"/>	8
Exits to Homelessness		
2. ≤20% of participants who exited, exited to homelessness.	<input type="text"/>	6
Connection to Services		
3. >90% of participants were connected to needed services and/or mentor.	<input type="text"/>	10
New or Increased Income		
4.a. At least 8% of project leavers received new or increased income (from any source).	<input type="text"/>	1
4.b. At least 8 % of project stayers received new or increased income (from any source).	<input type="text"/>	1
Project Cost-Effectiveness		
5. Describes how the project has assessed and will assess project outcomes.	<input type="text"/>	4
6. Costs per person (total budget with match/total persons served) is reasonable for program type.	<input type="text"/>	4
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	34

VI. Equity Factors

Agency Factors

1.a. Agency has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions.	<input type="text"/>	1
1.b. Agency has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers.	<input type="text"/>	1
2.a. Agency's Board of Directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	1
2.b. Agency has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	1
Program Factors		
3.a. Program has reviewed participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, etc.	<input type="text"/>	1
3.b. Program has identified programmatic changes need to make participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes.	<input type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
VII. HUD Monitoring		
1. Any HUD monitoring findings and corrective action were minimal.	<input type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	5
VIII. CoC Participation and Contribution to System Performance		
1. Agency participates in PIC--e.g., serving on the Board of Directors, committees, and /or workgroups--and collaborates with PIC members.	<input type="text"/>	4
2. Describes how project fits system needs and fits with CoC and HUD policy priorities.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	7
IX. Financial Performance		
1. Most recent agency financial audit found no exceptions or findings.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Budget costs are within local average for project type.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
Total	<input type="text"/>	100

Renewal SSO-CE Project Scorecard		
Project Name _____		
Agency: _____		
Project Type: _____		
Evaluator _____		
CoC Threshold Requirements		
Program participates in CES.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project utilizes a Housing First and/or Low Barrier approach.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project has documented minimum match.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project provided a budget and budget narrative.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Agency has provided an organizational audit/financial review.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>If answered "yes" to all of the above, continue to the rating section below.</i>		
Project Rating by CoC		
	Points Earned	Points Available
I. Submission Requirements		
1. Timely submission of project application packets in accordance with RFP requirements.	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	1
2. Timely submission of project application and all attachments in e-snaps.	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	2
II. CoC Monitoring		
1. Timely drawdown of most recent grant expenditures with time expense ratio is ≤10% (% of term expired minus % funds disbursed).	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	5
Project Rating by External Evaluator		
	Points Earned	Points Available
III. Project Description		
Planning & Access		
1. CES ensures accessibility to all individuals and families seeking homeless services on Oahu.	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	3
2. CES has a plan to reach people who face certain barriers and are least likely to apply to programs in absence of special outreach.	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	3
3. CES ensures access points for sub-populations.	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	3
4. CES advertises effectively to individuals and families seeking services.	<input style="width: 80px; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	3

5. CES has policies and procedures to ensure equitable access to the CES process by all eligible persons regardless of race, color, nationality, religion, sex, age, familial status, disability, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status.	<input type="text"/>	3
6. CES has policies and procedures for serving individuals fleeing domestic violence.	<input type="text"/>	3
7. Describes how CES collaborates with stakeholders within and across the CoC.	<input type="text"/>	3
Assessment, Prioritization, & Referrals		
8. CES has a standardized assessment process to direct individuals and families to appropriate housing to meet their needs.	<input type="text"/>	3
9. CES has a process for prioritizing individuals and families who are most in need.	<input type="text"/>	3
10. CES has a process in place for serving clients who fall out of housing or who have unsuccessful referrals.	<input type="text"/>	3
Data Management		
11. CES has a robust data management system.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	33
IV. Evaluation and Performance		
1. CES regularly evaluates its process at a systems and programmatic level.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. CES has a process in place to ensure that racial equity is achieved at all stages of the CES process.	<input type="text"/>	6
3. CES works with HMIS to ensure an overall high-quality system performance.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	12
V. Project Alignment with Policy and CoC Priorities		
1. Explains how CES promotes a system-wide Housing First philosophy.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Describes how CES works to advance racial equity in the CoC.	<input type="text"/>	3
3. Explains how CES considers individuals with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	3
4. Explains how CES works to improve assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals across the CoC.	<input type="text"/>	3
5. Describes how CES works to promote cost-effectiveness in the CoC.	<input type="text"/>	3
6. CES works with healthcare, housing, government, and social services to coordinate access to appropriate services.	<input type="text"/>	3

7. CES promotes and supports HUD's six pillars--including three foundations (equity, data, collaboration) and solutions (housing & supports, crisis response, and prevention).	<input type="text"/>	3
8. Describes how CES assists the CoC in addressing unsheltered homelessness.	<input type="text"/>	3
9. Describes how the lead agency will ensure project staff retention (e.g., paying a living wage, offering mental health supports, etc.).	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	27
VI. Equity Factors		
1.a. Agency has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions.	<input type="text"/>	1
1.b. Agency has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers.	<input type="text"/>	1
2.a. Agency's Board of Directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	1
2.b. Agency has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	4
VII. HUD Monitoring		
1. Any HUD monitoring findings and corrective action were minimal.	<input type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	5
VIII. CoC Participation and Contribution to System Performance		
1. Describes how project fits system needs and fits with CoC and HUD policy priorities.	<input type="text"/>	6
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
IX. Financial Performance		
1. Most recent agency audit found no exceptions or findings.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Budget costs are reasonable.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
Total	<input type="text"/>	100

Renewal HMIS Project Scorecard		
Project Name _____		
Agency: _____		
Project Type: _____		
Evaluator _____		
CoC Threshold Requirements		
Program participates in CES.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project utilizes a Housing First and/or Low Barrier approach.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project has documented minimum match.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project provided a budget and budget narrative.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Agency has provided an organizational audit/financial review.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>If answered "yes" to all of the above, continue to the rating section below.</i>		
Project Rating by CoC		
Rating Criteria	Points Earned	Points Available
I. Submission Requirements		
1. Timely submission of project application packets in accordance with RFP requirements.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
2. Timely submission of project application and all attachments in e-snaps.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	2
II. CoC Monitoring		
1. Timely drawdown of most recent grant expenditures with time expense ratio is ≤10% (% of term expired minus % funds disbursed).	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
Project Rating by External Evaluator		
Rating Criteria	Points Earned	Points Available
III. Project Description		
1. Describes current HMIS activities within the CoC (e.g., training, monitoring and evaluation, data management, and reporting).	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	9
2. Describes HMIS current data standards and abilities, including ability to un-duplicate records and disaggregate race data.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	9
3. Describes how HMIS works with the CoC's CES.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	9
4. Describe how HMIS uses data to review performance for the entire CoC geographic area as well as to provide information to project subrecipients and applicants for needs analysis and funding priorities.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	9

Subtotal		36
IV. Project Alignment with Policy and CoC Priorities		
1. Explains how HMIS promotes a system-wide Housing First philosophy.		4
2. Describes how HMIS uses data to advance racial equity in the CoC.		4
3. Explains how HMIS considers individuals with lived experience when designing reports and data collection.		4
4. Explains how HMIS works to improve assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals across the CoC.		4
5. Describes how HMIS works to promote cost-effectiveness in the CoC.		4
6. HMIS works with healthcare, housing, and social services to meet client and system needs.		4
7. HMIS promotes and supports HUD's six pillars--including three foundations (equity, data, collaboration) and solutions (housing & supports, crisis response, and prevention).		4
8. Describes how HMIS assists the CoC in addressing unsheltered homelessness.		4
9. Describes how the lead agency will ensure project staff retention (e.g., paying a living wage, offering mental health supports, etc.)		4
Subtotal		36
V. Equity Factors		
1.a. Agency has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions.		1
1.b. Agency has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers.		1
2.a. Agency's Board of Directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience.		1
2.b. Agency has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience.		1
Subtotal		4
VI. HUD Monitoring		
1. Any HUD monitoring findings and corrective action were minimal.		5
Subtotal		5
VII. CoC Participation and Contribution to System Performance		

1. Describes how HMIS collaborates with stakeholders within and across the CoC.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Describes how this project fits system needs and fits with CoC and HUD policy priorities.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
VIII. Financial Performance		
1. Most recent agency financial audit found no exceptions or findings.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Budget costs are reasonable.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
Total	<input type="text"/>	100

Renewal-New Project Scorecard		
Project Name _____		
Agency: _____		
Project Type: _____		
Evaluator _____		
CoC Threshold Requirements		
Program participates in CES.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project utilizes a Housing First and/or Low Barrier approach.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project has documented minimum match.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Project provided a budget and budget narrative.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Agency has provided an organizational audit/financial review.	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>If answered "yes" to all of the above, continue to the rating section below.</i>		
Project Rating by CoC		
Rating Criteria	Points Earned	Points Available
I.Submission Requirements		
1. Timely submission of project application packets in accordance with RFP requirements.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
2. Timely submission of project application and all attachments in e-snaps.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	2
II. CoC Monitoring		
1. Timely drawdown of most recent grant expenditures with time expense ratio is ≤10% (% of term expired minus % funds disbursed).	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
2. ≥90% of program entries and exits were entered into HMIS within 3 days.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	2
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	7
Project Rating by by External Evaluator		
Rating Criteria	Points Earned	Points Available
III.Project Description		
1. Describes project, including goals, services provided, and target population.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5
IV. Project Alignment with Policy & CoC Priorities		
1. Degree to which program implements a Housing First approach or philosophy.	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	3

2. Program advances racial equity in homelessness service provision (including degree to which program racial demographics reflect overall homeless population racial breakdown).	<input type="text"/>	3
3. Program considers individuals with lived experience in program design, planning, implementation, evaluation, etc.	<input type="text"/>	3
4. Program works to improve assistance to LGBTQ+ individuals.	<input type="text"/>	3
5. Program demonstrates cost-effectiveness.	<input type="text"/>	3
6. Program works with stakeholders from health, housing, and social services to meet client needs.	<input type="text"/>	3
7. Project addresses HUD's six pillars--including three foundations (equity, data, collaboration) and will employ at least one solution (housing & supports, crisis response, and prevention).	<input type="text"/>	3
8. Degree to which program addresses unsheltered homelessness.	<input type="text"/>	3
9. Describes how the agency will ensure project staff retention (e.g., paying a living wage, offering mental health supports, etc.)	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	37

V. Performance Measures

Time to Placement*

1. On average, time from project entry to residential placement is 15 days (RRH), 30 days (DV RRH), or 180 days (PSH & TH). If program has not enrolled participants, applicant provides reasonable explanation for low or no enrollment	<input type="text"/>	8
--	----------------------	---

Exits without Move-in*

2. Less than 5% of clients exited without move-in.	<input type="text"/>	8
--	----------------------	---

**if program has not enrolled any participants, score the following two sections in lieu of Time to Placement and Exits without Move-in*

Rationale for No or Low Enrollment

1. Applicant provides reasonable explanation for low or no enrollment.	<input type="text"/>	8
--	----------------------	---

Timeline

2. Provides a detailed schedule of proposed activities at 60, 120, and 180 days after grant award.	<input type="text"/>	8
--	----------------------	---

Project Cost-Effectiveness

3. Describes how the project has assessed and will assess project outcomes.	<input type="text"/>	5
---	----------------------	---

4. Costs per household is reasonable for program type.	<input type="text"/>	5
--	----------------------	---

Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	26
----------	----------------------	----

VI. Equity Factors		
Agency Factors		
1.a. Agency has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions.	<input type="text"/>	1
1.b. Agency has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers.	<input type="text"/>	1
2.a. Agency's Board of Directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	1
2.b. Agency has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience.	<input type="text"/>	1
Program Factors		
3.a. Program has reviewed participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, etc.	<input type="text"/>	1
3.b. Program has identified programmatic changes need to make participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes.	<input type="text"/>	1
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
VII. HUD Monitoring		
1. Any HUD monitoring findings and corrective action were minimal.	<input type="text"/>	5
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	5
VIII. CoC Participation and Contribution to System Performance		
1. Agency participates in PIC--e.g., serving on the Board of Directors, committees, and /or workgroups--and collaborates with PIC members.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Describes how project fits system needs and fits with CoC and HUD policy priorities.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
IX. Financial Performance		
1. Most recent agency financial audit found no exceptions or findings.	<input type="text"/>	3
2. Budget costs are within local average for project type.	<input type="text"/>	3
Subtotal	<input type="text"/>	6
Total	<input type="text"/>	100